

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the Application of:

Kwan-Hee LEE, et al.

Serial No. 10/820,845

Group Art Unit: 2879

Confirmation No. 8679

Filed: April 9, 2004

Examiner: HINES, ANNE M

For: ORGANIC ELECTROLUMINESCENT DISPLAY DEVICE

**COMMENTS REGARDING STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE**

Commissioner for Patents  
PO Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

A Statement of Reasons for Allowance was forwarded in the Notice of Allowability mailed on August 23, 2007 indicating that the Examiner's reasons for allowance were previously state on record in the Office Action mailed February 26, 2007.

MPEP §1302.14 states, in part:

Where specific reasons are recorded by the examiner, care must be taken to ensure that statements of reasons for allowance (or indication of allowable subject matter) are accurate, precise and do not place unwarranted interpretations, whether broad or narrow upon the claims. The examiner should keep in mind the possible misinterpretations of his or her statement that may be made and its possible estoppel effects.

On pages 5 and 6 of the Office Action mailed February 26, 2007, the Examiner characterizes certain features of claim 1. However, the Examiner has not recited the appropriate language for the appropriate claims as pending and allowed in the application.

By way of example, the stated reasons appear to be the Examiner's summary of claim 1 and contains errors. Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that the passage should not be a

basis for any estoppel or interpretation.

The foregoing is merely meant to be exemplary, and does not point out all of the discrepancies between the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance and the claimed features of the allowed claims.


It is further submitted that the claims speak for themselves and should not be interpreted based on the Examiner's characterizations of same. It is also submitted that the claims provide their own best evidence as to the reasons for allowance.

In summary, it is submitted that the Examiner's Statement "raises possible misinterpretations... and possible estoppel effects" (M.P.E.P. §1302.14) and is therefore improper.

Respectfully submitted,

STEIN, MCEWEN & BUI, LLP

Date: 9/28/2007

By:   
Seth S. Kim  
Registration No. 54,577

1400 Eye St., N.W., Suite 300  
Washington, D.C. 20005  
Telephone: (202) 216-9505  
Facsimile: (202) 216-9510